

SEP 1 1989

JOSEPH F. SPANIOLO, JR.
CLERK

No. 88-1775

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States
OCTOBER TERM, 1989

GARY E. PEEL,

Petitioner,

v.

ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY
COMMISSION OF ILLINOIS,
Respondent.

On Writ of Certiorari to the
Supreme Court of Illinois

JOINT APPENDIX

BRUCE J. ENNIS, JR.*
DONALD B. VERRILLI, JR.
JENNER & BLOCK
21 Dupont Circle, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 223-4400

Counsel for Petitioner

* Counsel of Record

WILLIAM F. MORAN, III *
ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND
DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION
One North Old Capitol Plaza
Suite 345
Springfield, Illinois 62701
(217) 522-6838

Counsel for Respondent

PETITION FOR CERTIORARI FILED MAY 2, 1989
CERTIORARI GRANTED JULY 3, 1989

26 pp 9
J. L. L. L.

INDEX TO JOINT APPENDIX

	Page
Relevant Docket Entries	1
Complaint, April 4, 1987	3
Respondent's First Set of Interrogatories	5
Answer, June 10, 1987	13
Answer to Interrogatories, June 25, 1987	15
Hearing Transcript Excerpts	*
Report of Hearing Panel, Finding of Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendations of the Hearing Board, August 12, 1987	*
Report and Recommendation of Review Board, Febru- ary 17, 1988	*
Opinion of the Supreme Court of Illinois, February 2, 1989	*
Exhibit A to Brief <i>Amicus Curiae</i> of National Board of Trial Advocacy	22
Letterhead	24

* Reprinted in Appendix to Petition for Certiorari.

RELEVANT DOCKET ENTRIES

10/12/86 Notice Pursuant to Commission Rule 105
Notice of Filing; Motion for Leave to File First Set of Interrogatories; Proposed Order
First Set of Interrogatories

4/9/87 Complaint; Notice of Hearing; Order; Notice to Appear for Deposition; Entry of Appearance and Acceptance of Service
Motion to Dismiss

5/8/87 Notice of Filing; Notice; Motion to Strike Respondent's First Set of Interrogatories

5/19/87 Notice of Filing; Petition for Leave to File Response Instantly; Affidavit; Administrator's Response to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss; Memorandum of Law in Support of the Administrator's Response to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss

6/10/87 Answer

6/12/87 Order

6/24/87 Notice of Filing; Notice to Appear for Deposition

6/25/87 Notice of Filing; Answer to Interrogatories

7/2/87 Notice of Filing; Motion to Continue; Proposed Order

7/6/87 Notice of Filing; Motion to Conduct Public Hearings; Proposed Order

7/6/87 Order

7/9/87 Order

7/10/87 Administrator's Response to Respondent's Motion to Conduct Public Hearings

7/20/87 Second Motion to Continue

7/22/87 Notice of Filing and Objection to Respondent's Second Motion to Continue

- 7/27/87 Hearing Board's Transcript of Proceedings
- 8/25/87 Notice; Report of the Hearing Panel Findings of Facts, Conclusion of Law and Recommendations of the Hearing Board
Exceptions and Supporting Argument
- 8/25/87 Report of the Hearing Panel Findings of Facts, Conclusion of Law and Recommendations of the Hearing Board
Petition to Intervene
- 9/19/87 Administrator's Response to Petitioner's Petition to Intervene in this Matter as an Attorney-Respondent or as an Amicus Curiae
- 2/17/88 Report and Recommendation of the Review Board

BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD
OF THE
ILLINOIS ATTORNEY REGISTRATION
AND
DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION

Administrator's No. 87 SH 76

IN THE MATTER OF: GARY E. PEEL,
Attorney-Respondent,
No. 2166259.

COMPLAINT

[Filed April 9, 1987]

Carl H. Rolewick, Administrator of the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission by his attorney William F. Moran, III, complains of Respondent Gary E. Peel and alleges that Respondent, who was licensed to practice law in Illinois on November 14, 1968 and is still so licensed, has been guilty of conduct which tends to bring the courts and the legal profession into disrepute as follows:

1. On or before April 15, 1986 Respondent had stationary created for use in his practice of law. The letterhead on this stationary holds Respondent out as a "Certified Civil Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy." A copy of this stationary is attached as Exhibit 1.
2. Between April 15, 1986 and the date the Inquiry Board voted a complaint in this matter, Respondent used the above stationary in the ordinary course of his practice of law.

3. At no time has the Supreme Court of Illinois recognized any certification by the National Board of Trial Advocacy.

4. Respondent's conduct set forth above constitutes:

- a. violating a disciplinary rule in violation of Rule 1-102(a)(1) of the Illinois Code of Professional Responsibility;
- b. a false or misleading statement in violation of Rule 2-101(b); and
- c. publicly holding himself out as a certified legal specialist in violation of Rule 2-105(a)(3).

WHEREFORE, the Administrator prays that this cause be assigned to a hearing panel of the Hearing Board, that a hearing be conducted, that the panel make findings of fact and conclusions of fact and law and a recommendation for such discipline and costs as is warranted.

CARL H. ROLEWICK
Administrator
Illinois Attorney Registration
and Disciplinary Commission

By: /s/ William F. Moran, III
Counsel for the Administrator

WILLIAM F. MORAN, III, Counsel
Attorney Registration and
Disciplinary Commission
One North Old Capitol Plaza, #345
Springfield, Illinois 62701
Telephone: (217) 522-6838

BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD
OF THE
ILLINOIS ATTORNEY REGISTRATION
AND
DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION

Administrator's No. 87-SH-76

IN THE MATTER OF: GARY E. PEEL,
Attorney-Respondent,
No. 2166259

FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

To: CARL H. ROLEWICK, Administrator of the Attorney
Registration and Disciplinary Commission

And: WILLIAM F. MORAN III, Counsel for the Attorney
Registration and Disciplinary Commission

Pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rules 251 and 213, you are directed to answer the following interrogatories, *under oath*, within 28 days after service of said interrogatories on you.

1. Identify the name and address of each person having knowledge of the allegations of paragraph #1 of the Complaint filed in the above captioned cause.

2. Identify the name and address of each person who initiated the investigation of the charges which led to the filing of the Complaint in the above captioned cause.

3. Identify the name and address of each person having knowledge of the allegations of paragraph #2 of the Complaint filed in the above captioned cause.

4. Identify the name and address of each person having knowledge of the allegations of paragraph #3 of the Complaint filed in the above captioned cause.

5. Identify the name and address of each person having knowledge of the allegations of paragraph #4(a) of the Complaint filed in the above captioned cause.

6. Identify the name and address of each person having knowledge of the allegations of paragraph #4(b) of the Complaint filed in the above captioned cause.

7. Identify the name and address of each person having knowledge of the allegations of paragraph #4(c) of the Complaint filed in the above captioned cause.

8. Identify the name and address of each person having knowledge that Gary E. Peel's letterhead specialty designation, (i.e. "Certified Civil Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy" or "Certified Civil Trial Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy") is *false*, as alleged in paragraph 4(b) of the Complaint filed in the above captioned cause.

9. Identify the name and address of each person having knowledge that Gary E. Peel's letterhead specialty designation, (i.e. "Certified Civil Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy" or "Certified Civil Trial Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy") is *misleading*, as alleged in paragraph 4(a) of the Complaint filed in the above captioned cause.

10. Identify (by title, date of publication, author, and source) any and all studies, surveys, polls, investigations, inquires, [sic] probes, data, research, or assessments—whether conducted by the Illinois Supreme Court, the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission, or any other bureau, office, department, organization, or academic institution—which have concluded that the designation "Certified Civil Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy" or the designation "Certified Civil

Trial Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy" by an attorney who in fact is so certified, is *misleading*.

11. Identify (by title, date of publication, author, and source) any and all studies, surveys, polls, investigations, inquires, [sic] probes, data, research, or assessments—whether conducted by the Illinois Supreme Court, the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission, or any other bureau, office, department, organization, or academic institution—which have concluded that the designation "Certified Civil Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy" or the designation "Certified Civil Trial Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy" by an attorney who in fact is so certified, is *deceptive*.

12. Identify (by title, date of publication, author, and source) any and all studies, surveys, polls, investigations, inquires, [sic] probes, data, research, or assessments—whether conducted by the Illinois Supreme Court, the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission, or any other bureau, office, department, organization, or academic institution—which have concluded that the designation "Certified Civil Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy" or the designation "Certified Civil Trial Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy" by an attorney who in fact is so certified, is *fraudulent*.

13. Identify (by title, date of publication, author, and source) any and all studies, surveys, polls, investigations, inquires, [sic] probes, data, research, or assessments—whether conducted by the Illinois Supreme Court, the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission, or any other bureau, office, department, organization, or academic institution—which have concluded that the designation "Certified Civil Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy" or the designation "Certified Civil Trial Specialist by the National Board of Trial Advocacy" by an attorney who in fact is so certified, is *false*.

14. Describe any and all actions of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Com-

mission to prohibit, ban, deter, forbid, or obstruct the use by attorneys of publicly advertising their "concentration in", "practice limited to" or "availability to practice in" specified areas of the law. [e.g. "concentration in divorce", "practice limited to personal injury", "available to practice in bankruptcy.]"

15. Describe any and all actions of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission to prohibit, ban, deter, forbid, or obstruct the use by attorneys of publicly advertising areas of legal service availability in the "Yellow Pages" of the telephone directories published statewide with the permission of the Illinois Bell Telephone Company by Donnelley Directory, Boulevard Towers South, 205 North Michigan, Chicago, Illinois 60601 in cooperation with Ameritech Publishing of Illinois, Inc., 225 North Michigan, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

16. Illinois Supreme Court Rule 2-105(a)(2) authorizes an attorney engaged in the practice of admiralty to use the designation "Admiralty", "Proctor in Admiralty", or "Admiralty Lawyer" or a combination of those terms.

A. What criteria, bases, studies, empirical data, assessments, surveys, support or other authority (e.g. years of experience in the field, continuing legal education, trial experience, or otherwise) of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission is utilized as the basis for differentiating this *admiralty* form of specialty advertising or designation from any other form of specialty advertising or designation?

17. Illinois Supreme Court Rule 2-105(a)(2) authorizes an attorney engaged in the trademark practice to use the designation "Trademarks", "Trademark Attorney", "Trademark Attorney" or a combination of those terms.

A. What criteria, bases, studies, empirical data, assessments, surveys, support or other authority (e.g. years

of experience in the field, continuing legal education, trial experience, or otherwise) of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission is utilized as the basis for differentiating this *trademark* form of specialty advertising or designation from any other form of specialty advertising or designation?

18. Illinois Supreme Court Rule 2-105(a)(1) authorizes an attorney admitted to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office to use the designation "Patents", "Patent Attorney", "Patent Lawyer", or "Registered Patent Attorney" or a combination of those terms on his letterhead and office sign.

A. What criteria, bases, studies, empirical data, assessments, surveys, support or other authority (e.g. years of experience in the field, continuing legal education, trial experience, or otherwise) of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission is utilized as the basis for differentiating this *patent* form of specialty advertising or designation from any other form of specialty advertising or designation?

B. What criteria, bases, studies, empirical data, assessments, surveys, support or other authority is utilized by the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission to allow the United States Patent and Trademark Office to exclusively determine the qualifications of an attorney whose expertise is permitted to be publicly displayed in the State?

C. On what basis does the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission allow qualified patent, attorneys to publicly hold themselves out as "certified" or as a "specialist" to the exclusion of other qualified attorneys in other areas of practice?

19. On what basis does the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission allow trademark and admiralty attorneys, *without proof of*

qualification, experience, or training to publicly hold themselves out as "certified" or as a "specialist" without permitting other qualified, [or unqualified,] attorneys in other areas of practice that same opportunity?

20. Has the Illinois Supreme Court, the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission, or any agency, group, or committee thereof conducted any studies or made any reports on whether less restrictive means exist to regulate commercial advertising of specialty practice by attorneys other than the regulation by Supreme Court Rule 2-105?

21. If the answer to interrogatory #20 is in the affirmative, then state the following as to each study or report.

A.) The name and address of the body or entity commissioning said study or report.

B.) The date said study or report was commissioned.

C.) The date said report or study was completed.

D.) The conclusions and recommendations, if any, reached in said study or report.

E.) The name and address of the person having physical possession of the said study or report.

F.) The name of the report or study.

G.) The action, if any, taken by the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission, upon the recommendations made in said study or report.

22. As a result of the United States Supreme Court decisions of *Bates vs. State Bar of Arizona* 433 U.S. 350, 97 S. Ct. 2691, 53 L. Ed. 2d 810 (1977) and *In Re R.M.J.*, 455 U.S. 191, 102 S. Ct. 929, 71 L. Ed. 2d. 64 (1982), what action, if any, has been taken by the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Attorney Registra-

tion and Disciplinary Commission to examine, review, modify, alter, revise or amend Illinois Supreme Court Rule 2-105 so as to place said rule in conformity with those United States Supreme Court decisions on lawyer specialty advertising?

23. As a result of the United States Supreme Court decision of *Supreme Court of Virginia vs. Consumers Union* 446 U.S. 719 (1980) what action, if any, has been initiated by the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission to examine, review, modify, alter, revise or amend Illinois Supreme Court Rule 2-105 so as to place said rule in conformity with that United States Supreme Court decision?

24. Identify the specific "state interests", "state public policies" or "substantial interests", if any, which the Illinois Supreme Court and the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission claims to exist which necessitate the continued enforcement of Supreme Court Rule 2-105?

25. With regard to the "state interests", "state public policies", or "substantial interests" identified in answer to interrogatory #24, state in what manner the Supreme Court Rule 2-105 blanket prohibition on lawyer specialty advertising (except as to patent, trademark, and admiralty) serves as the *least* restrictive method or device to safeguard those stated interests or policies.

26. With regard to the answer to interrogatory #25, identify specifically any and all documents, materials, empirical data, studies, records, reports or other writings utilized or relied upon by you in reaching the conclusion that continued enforcement of Supreme Court Rule is the *least* restrictive method or manner of safeguarding said interests or policies.

27. From the date of the creation of the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission to this date, and exclusive of the above referenced cause, state the total number of disciplinary complaints (or charges) initiated

by the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission for specialty advertising in violation of Supreme Court Rule 2-105.

28. As to those complaints or charges in answer to interrogatory number 27, how many of the total charges resulted in the imposition of sanctions by the Illinois Supreme Court?

29. As to those complaints or charges in answer to interrogatory number 27, how many of the total charges resulted in the imposition of sanctions by the Illinois Supreme Court against an attorney who had in fact been certified as a specialist by some board, agency, testing group or Supreme Court of another state or of the federal government?

30. Identify, (by name, address, title, and the name and address of their employer), any and all persons consulted in the preparation of the answers to these interrogatories.

31. State the name and address of the person(s) signing the answers to these interrogatories, *under oath*, and as to each, state the following:

A.) The name and address of his or her employer.

B.) His or her title or job description.

C.) The authority by which he or she is answering these interrogatories on behalf of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission.

GARY E. PEEL

By: /s/

ROBERT W. BOSSLET
Attorney for Respondent
1406 Niedringhaus
Granite City, Illinois 62040
Telephone: 618-876-8440

BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD
OF THE
ILLINOIS ATTORNEY REGISTRATION
AND
DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION

Administrator's No. 87-SH-76

IN THE MATTER OF: GARY E. PEEL,

Attorney-Respondent,
No. 2166259.

ANSWER

Comes now the Respondent, GARY E. PEEL, by his attorney, ROBERT W. BOSSLET of MORRIS B. CHAPMAN & ASSOCIATES, LTD., and in answer to the Complaint filed herein states as follows:

1. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph one.

2. Respondent can neither admit nor deny whether the Inquiry Board voted a complaint in this matter, and therefore, demands strict proof of the allegations contained in paragraph two.

3. Respondent can neither admit nor deny whether the Supreme Court of Illinois has recognized any certification by the National Board of Trial Advocacy, and therefore demands strict proof thereof.

4. Respondent denies each and every allegation and suballegation contained in paragraph four.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered, Respondent prays that the Complaint be dismissed and held for naught.

DATED this 10th day of July, 1987.

/s/ Robert W. Bosslet
 ROBERT W. BOSSLET
 Morris B. Chapman & Associates
 Ltd.
 1406 Niedringhaus Avenue
 P.O. Box 519
 Granite City, Illinois 62040
 618/876-8440

BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD
 OF THE
 ILLINOIS ATTORNEY REGISTRATION
 AND
 DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION

Administrator's No. 87 SH 76

IN THE MATTER OF: GARY E. PEEL,
Attorney-Respondent,
 No. 2166259.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORIES

I, Jerome Larkin, Assistant Administrator of the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission, on oath provide the following answers to the following interrogatories pursuant to the Hearing Board's Order of June 1, 1987:

2. Identify the name and address of each person who initiated the investigation of the charges which led to the filing of the Complaint in the above captioned cause.

Carl H. Rolewick, Administrator
 Attorney Registration and
 Disciplinary Commission
 203 North Wabash
 Chicago, Illinois 60601 or
 One North Old Capitol Plaza, #345
 Springfield, Illinois 62701

William F. Moran, III, Counsel
 for the Administrator
 Attorney Registration and
 Disciplinary Commission
 One North Old Capital Plaza, #345
 Springfield, Illinois 62701

14. Describe any and all actions of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission to prohibit, ban, deter, forbid, or obstruct the use by attorneys of publicly advertising their "concentration in", "practice limited to" or "availability to practice in" specified areas of the law. [e.g. "concentration in divorce", "practice limited to personal injury", "available to practice in bankruptcy.]"

The Administrator has no knowledge of any action taken by the Supreme Court of Illinois to prohibit, ban, deter, forbid, or obstruct the use by attorneys of publicly advertising their "concentration in", "practice limited to" or "availability to practice in" specified areas of the law.

The Administrator has taken no action to prohibit, ban, deter, forbid, or obstruct the use by attorneys of publicly advertising their "concentration in", "practice limited to" or "availability to practice in" specified areas of the law.

15. Describe any and all action of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission to prohibit, ban, deter, forbid, or obstruct the use by attorneys of publicly advertising areas of legal service availability in the "Yellow Pages" of the telephone directories published statewide with the permission of the Illinois Bell Telephone Company by Donnelley Directory, Boulevard Towers South, 205 North Michigan, Chicago, Illinois 60601 in cooperation with Ameritech Publishing of Illinois, Inc., 225 North Michigan, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

The Administrator has no knowledge of any action taken by the Supreme Court of Illinois to prohibit, bar, deter, forbid, or obstruct the use by attorneys of publicly advertising areas of legal services availability in the

"Yellow Pages" of the telephone directories published statewide with the permission of the Illinois Bell Telephone Company by Donnelley Directory, Boulevard Towers South, 205 North Michigan, Chicago, Illinois 60601 in cooperation with Ameritech Publishing of Illinois, Inc., 225 North Michigan, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

The Administrator has taken no action to prohibit, bar, deter, forbid, or obstruct the use by attorneys of publicly advertising areas of legal services availability in the "Yellow Pages" of the telephone directories published statewide with the permission of the Illinois Bell Telephone Company by Donnelley Directory, Boulevard Towers South, 205 North Michigan, Chicago, Illinois 60601 in cooperation with Ameritech Publishing of Illinois, Inc., 225 North Michigan, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

16. Illinois Supreme Court Rule 2-105(a)(2) authorizes an attorney engaged in the practice of admiralty to use the designation "Admiralty", "Proctor in Admiralty", or "Admiralty Lawyer" or a combination of those terms.

A. What criteria, bases, studies, empirical data, assessments, surveys, support or other authority (e.g. years of experience in the field, continuing legal education, trial experience, or otherwise) of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission is utilized as the basis for differentiating this *admiralty* form of specialty advertising or designation from any other form of specialty advertising or designation?

The Administrator has no knowledge as to what bases the Supreme Court of Illinois used in deciding to promulgate Rule 2-105(a)(2) of the Illinois Code of Professional Responsibility. The Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission and the Administrator have no authority to promulgate rules which regulate or proscribe attorneys' conduct, therefore, the only manner in which the

Administrator would attain this knowledge would be through legal research related to a disciplinary case. The Administrator has conducted no such research.

17. Illinois Supreme Court Rule 2-105(a)(2) authorizes an attorney engaged in the trademark practice to use the designation "Trademarks", "Trademark Attorney", "Trademark Attorney" or a combination of those terms.

A. What criteria, bases, studies, empirical data, assessments, surveys, support or other authority (e.g. years of experience in the field, continuing legal education, trial experience, or otherwise) of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission is utilized as the basis for differentiating this *trademark* form of specialty advertising or designation from any other form of specialty advertising or designation?

Same answer as to interrogatory 16A above.

18. Illinois Supreme Court Rule 2-105(a)(1) authorizes an attorney admitted to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office to use the designation "Patents", "Patent Attorney", "Patent Lawyer", or "Registered Patent Attorney" or a combination of those terms on his letterhead and office sign.

A. What criteria, bases, studies, empirical data, assessments, surveys, support or other authority (e.g. years of experience in the field, continuing legal education, trial experience, or otherwise) of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission is utilized as the basis for differentiating this *patent* form of specialty advertising or designation from any other form of specialty advertising or designation?

The Administrator has no knowledge as to what bases the Supreme Court of Illinois used in deciding to promul-

gate Rule 2-105(a)(1) of the Illinois Code of Professional Responsibility. The Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission and the Administrator have no authority to promulgate rules which regulate or proscribe attorneys' conduct, therefore, the only manner in which the Administrator would attain this knowledge would be through legal research related to a disciplinary case. The Administrator has conducted no such research.

B. What criteria, bases, studies, empirical data, assessments, surveys, support or other authority is utilized by the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission to allow the United States Patent and Trademark Office to exclusively determine the qualifications of an attorney whose expertise is permitted to be publicly displayed in this State?

Same answer as to interrogatory 18A above.

C. On what basis does the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission allow qualified patent, attorneys to publicly hold themselves out as "certified" or as a "specialist" to the exclusion of other qualified attorneys in other areas of practice?

Same answer as to interrogatory 18A above.

19. On what basis does the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission allow trademark and admiralty attorneys, *without proof of qualification, experience, or training* to publicly hold themselves out as "certified" or as a "specialist" without permitting other qualified, [*or unqualified,*] attorneys in other areas of practice that same opportunity?

Same answer as to interrogatory 16A above.

30. Identify, (by name, address, title, and the name and address of their employer), any and all persons consulted in the preparation of the answers to these interrogatories.

Carl H. Rolewick
 Administrator
 Attorney Registration and
 Disciplinary Commission
 203 North Wabash, 19th Floor
 Chicago, Illinois 60601

or

One North Old Capitol Plaza
 Suite 345
 Springfield, Illinois 62701

Jerome Larkin
 Assistant Administrator
 Attorney Registration and
 Disciplinary Commission
 203 North Wabash, 19th Floor
 Chicago, Illinois 60601

William F. Moran, III
 Counsel
 Attorney Registration and
 Disciplinary Commission
 One North Old Capitol Plaza, #345
 Springfield, Illinois 62701

31. State the name and address of the person(s) signing the answers to these interrogatories, *under oath*, and as to each, state the following:

Jerome Larkin

A.) The name and address of his or her employer.

Mr. Larkin is employed by the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission, 203 North Wabash, 19th Floor, Chicago, Illinois or One North Old Capitol Plaza, Suite 345, Springfield, Illinois.

B.) His or her title or job description.

Mr. Larkin is the Assistant Administrator of the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission.

C.) The authority by which he or she is answering these interrogatories on behalf of the Illinois Supreme Court or the Illinois Registration and Disciplinary Commission.

Neither the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission, the Administrator, nor any member of the Administrator's staff has the authority to answer any of these interrogatories on behalf of the Supreme Court of Illinois.

The Assistant Administrator is answering these interrogatories on behalf of the Administrator pursuant to a delegation of authority authorized by Supreme Court Rule 752.

/s/ JEROME LARKIN,
 Assistant Administrator
 Illinois Attorney Registration
 and Disciplinary Commission

Jerome Larkin, Assistant Administrator
 Attorney Registration and
 Disciplinary Commission
 203 N. Wabash, 19th Floor
 Chicago, Illinois 60601
 Telephone (312) 346-0690

Subscribed and sworn to
 before me this 25th day
 of June, 1987.

Notary Public

National Board of Trial Advocacy



1985 Directory
Certified Specialists
and
Board Members

NATIONAL BOARD OF TRIAL ADVOCACY

• • • • •

FLORIDA CONT.

Bill Whitaker
The Whitaker Building
45 West Washington Street
Orlando, FL 32802
(305) 843-2222

Edward A. White
902 Barnett Bank Building
Jacksonville, FL 32202
(904) 356-7673

Louis J. Williams
1525 South Florida Avenue
P.O. Box AX
Lakeland, FL 33802
(813) 688-8517

Richard H. Willits
Easley, Massa & Willits, P.A.
1655 Palm Beach Lakes
Boulevard
Suite 800
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(305) 684-7300

W. H. F. Wiltshire
201 East Government Street
P.O. Drawer 1832
Pensacola, FL 32598
(904) 432-7723

Richard E. Wolverton
2600 Ninth Street North
St. Petersburg, FL 33704
(813) 895-1991

Council Wooten, Jr.
Wooten, Honeywell, Kest &
Martinez
236 South Lucerne Circle
Orlando, FL 32801
(305) 843-7060

C. Steven Yerrid
P.O. Box 1288
Tampa, FL 33601
(813) 223-1621

GEORGIA

Charles R. Ashman
124 W. Liberty Street
P.O. Box 9147
Savannah, GA 31412
(912) 232-0436

James E. Butler, Jr.
1445 Second Avenue
Columbus, GA 31901
(404) 322-1990

Danny L. Dupree
P.O. Box 5527
Columbus, GA 31906
(404) 322-8876

B. Seth Harp, Jr.
P.O. Box 1172
Columbus, GA 31902
(404) 323-2761

Don C. Keenan
Keenan Building
148 Nassau Street, N.W.
Atlanta, GA 30303
(404) 523-2200

Ralph R. Lorberbaum
P.O. Box 9147
Savannah, GA 31412
(912) 232-0436

Billy E. Moore
Suite 814 Corporate Center
P.O. Box 2565
Columbus, GA 31902
(404) 323-3691

W. Fred Orr, II
540 One West Court Square
Decatur, GA 30030
(404) 377-1786

David Neal Rainwater
P.O. Box 487
122 East 12th Avenue
Cordele, GA 31015
(912) 272-5202

GEORGIA CONT.

Robert H. Smalley, Jr.
P.O. Drawer A
Griffin, GA 30224
(404) 228-2125

Edw. B. Stalnaker
Cooper, Maioriello & Stalnaker
561 Greene Street
P.O. Box 1407
Augusta, GA 30903
(404) 722-4901

ILLINOIS

Irwin C. Alter
208 South LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60604
(312) 337-2100

Gary L. Clark
1810 Savings Center Tower
Peoria, IL 61602
(309) 674-2164

A. J. Hardiman
29 South LaSalle Street
Suite 736
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 346-9666

Terrence K. Hegarty
69 West Washington Street
Chicago, IL 60602
(312) 263-7728

Lawrence W. Leck
Lawrence W. Leck & Assoc., Ltd.
77 West Washington Street
Suite 519
Chicago, IL 60602
(312) 641-2883

Susan E. Loggans
Loggans & Associates
69 West Washington Street
Chicago, IL 60602
(312) 726-0276

Michael H. Minton
Two North LaSalle Street
Suite 1802
Chicago, IL 60602
(312) 882-7500

Donald J. Parker
150 North Wacker Drive, #2400
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 443-0087

Gary E. Peel
40 Edwardsville Professional
Park
Edwardsville, IL 62025
(618) 920-5000

Edward H. Rawles
Reno, O'Byrne & Kepley, P.C.
P.O. Box 693
501 West Church Street
Champaign, IL 61820
(217) 352-7661

Curt N. Rodin
188 West Randolph
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 372-3822

James T. Ryan
Greenberger, Krauss & Jacobs
180 North LaSalle Street
Suite 2700
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 346-1300

William F. Ryan
221 North LaSalle Street
Suite 638
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 263-6452

Carl F. Schroeder
201 Naperville Road
Wheaton, IL 60187
(312) 260-6350

Law Offices of Gary E. Peel
2 Center Grove Road
Edwardsville, Illinois 62025
(618) 692-0500

Gary E. Peel
Certified Civil Trial Specialist
By the National Board of Trial Advocacy
Licensed: Illinois, Missouri, Arizona

Debra J. Meadows
Licensed: Illinois
Missouri